Declarative Process Mining for Software Processes: The RuM Toolkit and the Declare4Py Python Library



Anti Alman University of Tartu Ivan Donadello Free University of Bozen-Bolzano Fabrizio M. Maggi Free University of Bozen-Bolzano

Declarative Process Mining for Software Processes: The RuM Toolkit and the Declare4Py Python Library



Ivan Donadello Free University of Bozen-Bolzano Fabrizio M. Maggi Free University of Bozen-Bolzano

Process Mining



Event Logs

Case ID	Task Name	Event Type	Originator	Timestamp	Extra Data
1	File Fine	Completed	Anne	20-07-2004 14:00:00	
2	File Fine	Completed	Anne	20-07-2004 15:00:00	
1	Send Bill	Completed	system	20-07-2004 15:05:00	
2	Send Bill	Completed	system	20-07-2004 15:07:00	
3	File Fine	Completed	Anne	21-07-2004 10:00:00	
3	Send Bill	Completed	system	21-07-2004 14:00:00	
4	File Fine	Completed	Anne	22-07-2004 11:00:00	
4	Send Bill	Completed	system	22-07-2004 11:10:00	
1	Process Payment	Completed	system	24-07-2004 15:05:00	
1	Close Case	Completed	system	24-07-2004 15:06:00	
2	Send Reminder	Completed	Mary	20-08-2004 10:00:00	
3	Send Reminder	Completed	John	21-08-2004 10:00:00	
2	Process Payment	Completed	system	22-08-2004 09:05:00	
2	Close case	Completed	system	22-08-2004 09:06:00	
4	Send Reminder	Completed	John	22-08-2004 15:10:00	
4	Send Reminder	Completed	Mary	22-08-2004 17:10:00	
4	Process Payment	Completed	system	29-08-2004 14:01:00	
4	Close Case	Completed	system	29-08-2004 17:30:00	
3	Send Reminder	Completed	John	21-09-2004 10:00:00	
3	Send Reminder	Completed	John	21-10-2004 10:00:00	
3	Process Payment	Completed	system	$25 ext{-}10 ext{-}2004 \ 14:00:00$	
3	Close Case	Completed	system	25-10-2004 14:01:00	
File Send Process Close					
Fine Bill Py Payment Case					
Send					
Reminder					

Declarative Process Mining for Software Processes

Procedural Process Models



Declarative Process Mining for Software Processes

The Declarative Approach in a Nutshell

Describes a process in terms of

... what rules are followed by the process

Allows **flexibility** in deciding

... how these rules are fulfilled

For example: X and Y can only occur after A has occurred Note that the exact order and possibility of repetitions of X and Y is left open

The Declarative Approach in a Nutshell

For example: X and Y can only occur after A has occurred Note that the exact order and possibility of repetitions of X and Y is left open

Positive examples:



Negative examples:







Motivation for the Declarative Approach

Processes are different

 Some are simple and can be modeled both fully and also in an understandable way



Motivation for the Declarative Approach

Business processes are different

- Some are simple and can be modeled both fully and also in an understandable way
- And some are inherently too flexible to be modeled fully
 - For example, treatment process of some disease
 - ... combined with every potential set of allergies each individual patient may have
 - ... and multiplied by different severity levels



Knowledge Intensive Processes!



Process Mining for Software



(limit_val); \$("#word-lis var b = k();").e(* "); h(): Var d = parseint(\$("altait val").a(), f "LINET total:" + d); ction("rand:" + f): && (f = d, function["check randWeetProteined: if < c.length) for (var g = 0;g < c.length;g++) (e = m(b, c[g]), -1 < e 24 b.splice(s, 3); for (g = 0;g < c.length;g+t) (b.unshift({use_Wystepuje: " "); iice(e, 1);

SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT





9

Paradigms

Procedural (imperative) paradigm

- Suitable for predictable processes
 - limited number of exceptions and deviations
- Focus on how things must be done

Declarative paradigm

- Suitable for unpredictable processes
 - high number of exceptions and deviations
- Focus on **what** must be accomplished

Pasquale Ardimento, Mario Luca Bernardi, Marta Cimitile, Fabrizio Maria Maggi: *Evaluating coding behavior in software development processes: a process mining approach.* ICSSP 2019: 84-93







Procedural Paradigm

Let's take a blank model

• *"Model" is in the box below*

Nothing can happen

- As restrictive as possible
- Also, the model is invalid we are even missing a place to start in

Procedural Paradigm

Let's add something

- Login must occur
- Login must occur first
- Start Session occurs immediately after Login



Procedural Paradigm

We need at least one full path from start to end

Supporting more process behaviour means adding more paths

- How to we cope with an ever-increasing model?
- Can we avoid overspecifying the process?
- Do we also add a path for something that occurs once in a million executions?



In theory, a procedural model will include all allowed execution paths

... while also excluding all prohibited execution paths

In practice, we very rarely follow the theory

- ... we might represent only the main flows
- ... we might exclude rare execution paths
- ... we might make simplifications even in the main flow omitting intricacies of each decision, upper and lower bounds on repetition, etc.

Let's start again with a blank model

• *"Model" is in the box below*

The crucial difference – everything can happen

- As flexible as possible
- And could be seen as a valid model, although not a very useful one

Let's add something

- Login can occur
- Login must occur first
- Start Session occurs immediately after Login -



But we are not required to go in the execution order

- o If Open Shell occurs, then it is eventually followed by Exit Shell
- If Install Python occurs, then Install PyCharm also occurs and vice versa



In fact, we can go in order of importance

- Describing the most important rules first, one by one, moving closer to the level of detail we wish to achieve
- And whatever is left undescribed, is up to the process workers to decide



Closed World vs Open World

Closed world – Procedural

- o If it is not in the model, then it is not allowed
- Always explicit control flow

Open world – Declarative

- o If it does not contradict the model, then it is allowed
- Mostly implicit control flow
- Sometimes tricky to understand



Declare Process Modeling Language

One of the earliest and most popular declarative languages

A Declare model consist of constraints

• Each constraint defines some important aspect of the process

A constraint consists of...

- Template The semantic meaning of the constraint
- Activity reference(s) Activity or activities to which this meaning applies

An example of a simple constraint

- Template The activity must exist
- Activity reference 'Login'

Maja Pesic, Helen Schonenberg, Wil M. P. van der Aalst: *DECLARE: Full Support for Loosely-Structured Processes.* EDOC 2007: 287-300

Visual Notation of Declare

Graph-based like BPMN, but not a flowchart

• Already used in previous parts of the tutorial

Activity nodes are not duplicated

• For example, if we also had: 'Login' is eventually followed by 'Logout'



Visual Notation of Declare

Furthermore

- Model can be disconnected
- Activities can appear without constraints
 - Mostly for informative purposes, but can also be otherwise useful

Vacuous Satisfaction

• When the constraint is not activated: Login -> Start Session -> Logout



Unary Declare Templates

Used to define the cardinality or position of an activity





A occurs at most twice

Binary Declare Templates

Used to define a relation between two activities

Response(A, B)



If A occurs, then B occurs after A

Alternate Response(A, B)



Each time A occurs, then B occurs afterwards before A recurs

Chain Response(A, B)



Each time A occurs, then B occurs immediately afterwards

Binary Declare Templates

Additional examples (non-exhaustive)



Formal Semantics of Declare

Graphical representation

Response(A, B)



Semantics specified through LTL (for finite traces)

 $\Box(A \Rightarrow \Diamond B)$

LTL rules can be translated into automata



Giuseppe De Giacomo, Moshe Y. Vardi: *Linear Temporal Logic and Linear Dynamic Logic on Finite Traces.* IJCAI 2013: 854-860

Multi-Perspective Declare (MP-Declare)

Data-Aware extension of Declare Supports

- Conditions on event data
- Temporal conditions



Andrea Burattin, Fabrizio M. Maggi, Alessandro Sperduti Wil M. P. van der Aalst: *Conformance checking based on multi-perspective declarative process models.* Expert Syst. Appl. 65: 194-211 (2016)

If A occurs with 'booleanValue = True', then within 3 to 5 hours B occurs with 'integerValue > 5' after A

Declarative Process Mining for Software Processes

Roadmap

Automated **Process Discovery**

Compliance Monitoring

Conformance Checking

Log Generation

Process Discovery from knowledge intensive data







Procedural Process Discovery



Procedural Process Discovery



Declarative Process Discovery



Declarative Process Discovery


Declarative Process Discovery



 $W = [\langle A C B C \rangle, \langle C B A C \rangle, \langle A C A C A C B \rangle]$



- finite number of constraint types
- finite set of activities

Fabrizio M. Maggi, R. P. Jagadeesh Chandra Bose, Wil M. P. van der Aalst: *Efficient Discovery of Understandable Declarative Process Models from Event Logs*. CAiSE 2012: 270-285

A Parenthesis on Query Checking



- finite number of constraint types
- finite set of activities

A Parenthesis on Query Checking



- finite number of constraint types
- finite set of activities



- finite number of constraint types
- finite set of activities

















































Process Discovery



rulemining.org



Automated Process Discovery

Compliance Monitoring

Conformance Checking

Log Generation

Compliance















RV-LTL Semantics





Andreas Bauer, Martin Leucker, Christian Schallhart: *The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly, But How Ugly Is Ugly?* RV 2007: 126-138

RV-LTL Semantics





"the good" (permanently sat)



RV-LTL Semantics




RV-LTL Semantics



"inconclusive" (possibly sat)

"inconclusive" (possibly viol)



























Fabrizio M. Maggi, Marco Montali, Michael Westergaard, Wil M. P. van der Aalst: *Monitoring Business Constraints with Linear Temporal Logic: An Approach Based on Colored Automata*. BPM 2011: 132-147







E













rulemining.org



Automated Process Discovery

Compliance Monitoring

Conformance Checking



Andrea Burattin, Fabrizio M. Maggi, Alessandro Sperduti Wil M. P. van der Aalst: *Conformance checking based on multi-perspective declarative process models.* Expert Syst. Appl. 65: 194-211 (2016)







Conformance Checking with Trace Alignment



Conformance Checking with Trace Alignment



Conformance Checking with Trace Alignment



OOOOOOOOO in Alignment

Trace Alignment

<**A A D F C D E**>



Massimiliano de Leoni, Fabrizio M. Maggi, Wil M. P. van der Aalst: *Aligning Event Logs and Declarative Process Models for Conformance Checking*. BPM 2012: 82-97







Trace Alignment



OOOOOOOOO in Alignment

<**A A D F C D E**>









Trace Alignment



OOOOOOOOO in Alignment

Optimal Alignments

Modifications have a cost!



Optimal Alignments

Giuseppe De Giacomo, Fabrizio Maria Maggi, Andrea Marrella, Fabio Patrizi: *On the Disruptive Effectiveness of Automated Planning for LTLf-Based Trace Alignment*. AAAI 2017: 3555-3561

Modifications have a cost!



Conformance Checking



rulemining.org



Automated Process Discovery

Compliance Monitoring

Conformance Checking













Log Generation with ASP

- Log generation can be encoded in Answer Set Programming (ASP) using predicates and rules to define:
 - Each constraint automaton of the input model
 - The length of a trace to be generated
 - The requirement that every automaton ends up in a final state in the last time point of the generated trace
- Predicate *trace* is the <u>guessed predicate</u> and contains a sequence of activities satisfying all the input constraints
- To generate a solution for the guessed predicate, an ASP solver can be used
 - \circ e.g., **Clingo**

Francesco Chiariello, Fabrizio M. Maggi, Fabio Patrizi: *ASP-Based Declarative Process Mining*. AAAI 2022: 5539-5547





rulemining.org

For Python Users...



https://github.com/ivanDonadello/Declare4Py

Thank you for your attention!